

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/745,988	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BONNEAU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Emmanuel L. Moise	<b>Art Unit</b> 2136	

**All Participants:**

(1) Emmanuel L. Moise.

(2) Wayne F. Reinke.
**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 September 2004
**Time:** 1:00PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

1, 14, and 24

**Prior art documents discussed:**
*Schneider (U.S. Patent No. 6,201,829)*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The Examiner was authorized to amend claims 1 and 14 so that it could be more positively recited that the clock and data recovery circuit was being tested. See Attached Examiner's Amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)